

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY POLREP

Date: October 26, 1995

From: Charles Gebien, OSC, U.S. EPA, Region V

To: Terri Johnson, OSWER (703)603-9116

Rick Karl, EERB

Heidi Valetkevitch, OPA (312)353-1155

Don Bruce, EERB

Bill Messenger, BERB (312)353-9176 Andrew Warren, ORC (312)886-0747

Beth Guria, EERB

Lafayette Robertson, Chicago DOE (312)744-6451

Jim Clark, IEPA Maywood

Subject: West Roosevelt Drum Site

Chicago, IL

Polrep No: 3 a

3 and Final

Site ID: ON \ CERCLIS# IL/0001039056

D.O. No: 5001 - 05 - 372

Response Auth.: CERCLA/Emergency Response

NPL Status: NON-NPL Start Date: 5/22/95 Competion Date: 9/18/95

SITUATION:

On 5/30/95, Effluent Technologies Inc. (BTI), submitted a workplan to the U.S. BPA on behalf of Mr. Gheith, for the proposed removal of drummed wastes from the site, in accordance with the Unilateral Administrative Order (UAO). On 6/8/95, BTI submitted correspondence which included a work start schedule and a list of subcontractors for the project. On 6/14/95, U.S. BPA approved the workplan and provided ETI with copies of drum logs and hazcat data so that ETI could complete disposal arrangements. As described below, BTI removed all drummed waste from the site, and cleaned spillage from the floors in buildings 1 and 2, which completed all removal activities described in the action memo. The USTs in building 2 which contain heating oil, were not removed and remain on-site. SEE PREVIOUS POLREPS FOR HISTORICAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION.

ACTIONS TAKEN:

The following actions were taken for the period of 6/8/95 thru 9/18/95:

- On 6/30/95, ETI mobilized personnel and equipment to the site and staged and labelled the first load of drums for transportation to Environmental Services of America, South Bend, Indiana (ENSA) for disposal. TRI-S Environmental Services, Ellington, Connecticut (TRI-S) transported 81 drums to ENSA. ETI demobed all personnel and equipment from the site.
- -On 7/11/95, ETI mobilized personnel and equipment to the site and cleaned spillage from the floors in buildings 1 and 2. The floors were scrapped and swept. Sweepings were drummed. 20 drums were overpacked due to their poor condition or leakage in preparation

for transportation to ENSA. ETI demobed all personnel and equipment from the site.

-On 7/17/95, ETI mobilized personnel and equipment to the site and staged and labelled the second load of drums for transportation to ENSA for disposal. TRI-S transported 84 drums, including 34 overpacks, to ENSA. Compressed gas cylinders were transported to AIRCO for reuse and small cylinders were cut open and disposed of as metal scrap. ETI agreed to drum and dispose of all hazcat samples and spent personnel protective squipment remaining at the site from RES removal activities. ETI demobed all personnel and equipment from the site.

-On 8/4/95, ETI mobilized personnel and equipment to the site and staged and labelled the third and final load of drums for transportation to ENSA for disposal. TRI-S transported 70 drums, including spent PPE, floor sweepings and spent samples to ENSA. ETI demobed all personnel and equipment from the site.

-On 8/8/95, BTI returned to the site and removed remaining drum lids and rings as steel scrap.

-On 9/18/95, ETI submitted a final report of removal activities to the U.S. EPA as required by the UAO. The report discussed the removal and disposal of the drummed wastes; a good faith estimate of the total cost incurred by the PRP (\$85,718); and a listing of quantities and types of materials removed. The attachment to the report included the documentation of the chain of custody receipts for samples, waste approvals/permit authorizations by ENSA, manifests and transportation receipts, results of analysis of drums per shipment, certificate of destruction, site pictures, and billing summary.

RESULTS ACRIEVED:

As described above, all drummed hazardous wastes and related spillage have been removed from the site. Shortly after U.S. EPA initiated the removal action, the PRP's contractor ETI, arranged for and completed disposal of wastes, thereby, eliminating the direct contact threat to human health from these wastes.

NEXT STEPS:

None

KEY ISSUES:

None

COST INFORMATION:

TO DATE CEILING
TAT \$ 15,039* \$ 16,000
ERCS (RES) \$ 27,816** \$ 50,000

* Estimates dated 9/30/95.

^{**} Estimates based on 1900-55 dated 8/16/95, includes awaits.